VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF MINES, MINERALS AND ENERGY VIRGINIA GAS AND OIL BOARD HEARING

Tuesday, November 18, 2014

Lebanon, Virginia

BOARD MEMBERS:

Bill Harris—Public Member

Bruce Prather—Gas & Oil Industry Representative

Donnie Ratliff—Coal Industry Representative

Mary Quillen—Public Member

APPEARANCES:

Bradley Lambert—Chairman of the Virginia Gas & Oil Board

Rick Cooper—Director of the Division of Gas & Oil and Principal Executive to the Staff of the Board

Paul Kugelman — Assistant Attorney General

Sarah Gilmer—Staff Member of the Division of Gas & Oil

Prepared by: Margaret Linford

Agenda Items

<u>Item Number</u>	<u>Docket Number</u>		<u>Page</u>
1	Public Comments		1
2	VGOB 97-0520-0586-02	(Continued)	1
3	VGOB 04-1214-1373-05	(Approved)	2
4	VGOB 07-1218-2101-01	(Continued)	4
5	VGOB 05-0419-1437-01	(Approved)	5
6	VGOB 01-0619-0899-02	(Approved)	8
7	VGOB 93-0119-0309-06	(Continued, see Item 15)	12
8	VGOB 04-0921-1337-08	(Approved)	14
9	VGOB 03-0415-1142-03	(Approved)	16
10	VGOB 02-0820-1054-02	(Approved)	21
11	VGOB 08-0115-2122-01	(Approved)	27
12	VGOB 93-0420-0361-04	(Approved)	34
13	VGOB 93-0420-0362-03	(Approved)	42
14	VGOB 13-1217-4028-01	(Approved)	47
15	Board & Division Activities from the Staff		53
	-Clarification of testimony for Item 6, VGOB-93-0119-0309-06, continued to January, 2015. -The Division is researching the old, inactive accounts, "green files", in escrow to determine if they can be closed. - Announcement of the press conference to be held at 2:00 pm following Board Hearing for the updated escrow estimator.		
16	Board Review of October 2014 Minutes (Approved)		56

- 1 **Bradley Lambert:** Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. It's now after 9:00 and it's time to
- 2 begin our proceedings this morning. I would like to remind you that if you have cell phones or
- 3 other communicating devices, to please turn those off or set them on vibrate. If you must take
- 4 your call, please do so out in the hall. We are trying to record these proceedings and we would
- 5 appreciate having no interruptions. We'll being this morning by asking the Board to please
- 6 introduce themselves. I'll begin with Mr. Kugelman.
- 7 **Paul Kugelman:** Paul Kugelman, with the Office of the Virginia Attorney General.
- 8 **Bradley Lambert:** I'm Butch Lambert, the Deputy Director of the Department of Mines,
- 9 Minerals and Energy.
- 10 **Donnie Ratliff:** Donnie Ratliff, with Alpha Natural Resources, representing coal.
- 11 <u>Bill Harris:</u> I'm Bill Harris, a public member. I'm from Wise County.
- 12 **Bruce Prather:** I'm Bruce Prather. I represent the oil and gas industry on the Board.
- 13 Mary Quillen: Mary Quillen, public member.

14 <u>Items Numbers 1 and 2</u>

- 15 **Bradley Lambert:** Thank you, ladies and gentlemen. We'll begin this morning. The first
- agenda item is receive public comment. There is no one who has signed up for public
- 17 comments, so we'll go to Item Number 2 on the Docket. A petition from EQT Production
- 18 Company for the disbursement of funds and authorization for direct payment on behalf of the
- owners for Tracts 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 and 9. Docket Number VGOB 97-0520-0586...and this will be
- -02 instead of -01 that is on your Docket. All those wishing to testify please come forward.
- 21 **Jim Kaiser:** Mr. Chairman, Rita Barrett and Jim Kaiser, on behalf of EQT Production
- 22 Company.
- 23 **Bradley Lambert:** Good morning.
- 24 **Jim Kaiser:** Good morning.
- 25 **Sarah Gilmer:** Ms. Barrett, do you swear or affirm that your testimony is the truth, the whole
- truth and nothing but the truth?
- 27 **Rita Barrett:** Yes, ma'am.
- 28 **Bradley Lambert:** You may proceed, Mr. Kaiser.

- 1 Rita Barrett: We're going to continue this well indefinitely, Mr. Chairman, because we have
- 2 not heard from the Attorney in Richmond who was going to provide us with some estate
- 3 documents on the Sharon Catlett Tract.
- 4 **Bradley Lambert:** Okay. That item will be continued until requested by the company to place
- 5 it back on the Docket.
- 6 **Mary Quillen:** To December?
- 7 **Jim Kaiser:** No. We're going to...
- 8 **Rita Barrett:** Indefinitely. We communicated with him and he still hasn't gotten us the
- 9 estates...
- 10 **Jim Kaiser:** In July, he was going to get it right to us. He called me, personally, in my office
- and said, "I'm going to take care of this." That was six months ago.
- 12 **Rita Barrett:** Then, I talked to him.
- 13 **Jim Kaiser:** At some point, we'll probably just have to go ahead and set it and push it through.
- 14 **Rita Barrett:** What I would like to do is revise the exhibits and get the other tract disbursed.
- 15 **Bradley Lambert:** Sure. That would be an option, if you'd like to do that.
- 16 **Jim Kaiser:** It's not fair to the other folks.
- 17 **Bradley Lambert:** We'll continue that Docket Item.

18 <u>Item Number 3</u>

- 19 **Bradley Lambert:** We'll move on to Docket Item Number 3. A petition from EQT Production
- 20 Company for the disbursement of funds and authorization for direct payment on behalf of the
- 21 known owners of Tracts 3, 4, 9 and 10 in Well VC-503042. Docket Number VGOB 04-1214-
- 22 1373-05. All parties wishing to testify please come forward.
- 23 **Jim Kaiser:** Jim Kaiser and Rita Barrett for EQT.
- 24 **Bradley Lambert:** You may proceed, Mr. Kaiser.
- 25 **Jim Kaiser:** Ms. Barrett, if you would state your name, who you are employed by and in what
- 26 capacity, please?
- 27 **Rita Barrett:** Yes. My name is Rita McGlothlin Barrett. I'm employed by EQT Production
- 28 Company as a contract landman.

- **Jim Kaiser:** And we're here today for a disbursement request?
- **Rita Barrett:** Yes, we are.
- **Jim Kaiser:** Have all parties been notified, as required by statute?
- **Rita Barrett:** They have.
- **Jim Kaiser:** What unit does this disbursement affect?
- **Rita Barrett:** This is Unit VC-503042.
- **Jim Kaiser:** And what Tract or Tracts?
- **Rita Barrett:** Tracts 3, 4, 9 and 10.
- **Jim Kaiser:** Is this a partial or full disbursement?
- **Rita Barrett:** This is a full disbursement.
- **Jim Kaiser:** The reason for the disbursement?
- **Rita Barrett:** We have a letter dated January 14, 2014, from Range Resources, wherein they
- relinquish their claim to the coalbed methane royalties.
- **Jim Kaiser:** Have all these figures been reconciled between the bank and EQT?
- **Rita Barrett:** They have as of January 10, 2014.
- **Jim Kaiser:** And should the Board look to the next to last column on the right of Table 1 to get
- the percentage of escrowed funds to be disbursed?
- **Rita Barrett:** Yes.
- **Jim Kaiser:** And does Schedule 1 represent who should receive those disbursements and at
- what percentage?
- 21 Rita Barrett: It does.
- **Jim Kaiser:** Have we provided the Board with Exhibits E and EE to reflect the facts of this unit
- 23 after the disbursement?
- 24 Rita Barrett: Yes.
- **Jim Kaiser:** I guess it's just a EE, no E.
- **Rita Barrett:** Right.

- 1 Jim Kaiser: Would you ask that the order direct that any royalty due these owners, be paid
- 2 directly to them going forward?
- 3 Rita Barrett: Yes.
- **Jim Kaiser:** Nothing further at this time, Mr. Chairman.
- **Bradley Lambert:** Any questions from the Board?
- **Mary Quillen:** Where is the location of this well?
- **Bruce Prather:** What county?
- **Rita Barrett:** The location?
- 9 Mary Quillen: Yes.
- **Rita Barrett:** It is in the Ervinton District of Dickenson County.
- 11 Mary Quillen: Thanks.
- **Bradley Lambert:** Any other questions from the Board? [No response] Anything further, Mr.
- 13 Kaiser?
- **Jim Kaiser:** We would ask that the application be approved as submitted, Mr. Chairman.
- **Bradley Lambert:** Do I have a motion?
- **Mary Quillen:** Motion to approve.
- **Bruce Prather:** Second.
- **Bradley Lambert:** I have a motion and I have a second. Any further discussion? [No
- 19 response] All in favor signify by saying 'yes.'
- **Board:** Yes.
- **Bradley Lambert:** Opposed no.
- **Donnie Ratliff:** I'll abstain, Mr. Chairman.
- **Bradley Lambert:** One abstention. Mr. Ratliff.
- 24 <u>Item Number 4</u>
- **Bradley Lambert:** We're calling Docket Item Number 4. A petition from EQT Production
- 26 Company for the disbursement of funds and authorization for direct payment on behalf of all

- 1 known owners as to Tracts 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6 in Well VC-537244. This is Docket Number VGOB
- 2 07-1218-2101-01. All parties wishing to testify please come forward.
- 3 **Jim Kaiser:** Rita Barrett, Jim Kaiser for EQT, Mr. Chairman.
- 4 **Bradley Lambert:** You may proceed, Mr. Kaiser.
- 5 **Rita Barrett:** Mr. Chairman, we're going to continue this well indefinitely, also. This has the
- 6 Arrington Cemetery. You and I have tried to communicate with this group, but I did not find
- 7 anything of record after he told me he thought it had been taken care of. So, what I would like to
- 8 do on this one is continue it indefinitely and, again, revise the exhibits and disburse to all the
- 9 other parties in this unit. If we could get Arrington Cemetery to give us a list of trustees, we
- 10 need to close this account out.
- 11 **Bradley Lambert:** I'll go back with him again and let him know that his information he thought
- was right apparently didn't get filed with the courthouse.
- 13 **Rita Barrett:** Okay. Thank you.
- 14 **Bradley Lambert:** So, Ms. Barrett, he says he received a packet of W-9's to fill out and return
- 15 back.
- Rita Barrett: That's the confusion. I think that he got mailed a W-9 and, if he's filling it out as
- an individual, we need something of record to show us that he is, indeed, a trustee of the
- cemetery. I'm not sure why he was sent a W-9.
- 19 **Bradley Lambert:** Okay. So, I probably should let him know just disregard that W-9 unless he
- is the trustee for the cemetery.
- 21 **Rita Barrett:** Unless he's a trustee and they have something of record, but the courthouse didn't
- 22 find anything yesterday.
- Bradley Lambert: Okay. I'll get a hold of him as soon as I can. We'll try to clear that up.
- 24 **<u>Rita Barrett:</u>** I would appreciate that.
- 25 **Bradley Lambert:** Thank you.

26 <u>Item Number 5</u>

- 27 **Bradley Lambert:** We're calling Docket Item Number 5. A petition from EQT Production
- 28 Company, for the disbursement of funds and authorization for direct payment on behalf of all
- 29 known owners as to Tracts 2 and 3 in Well VC-502974. Docket Number VGOB 05-0419-1437-
- 30 01. All parties wishing to testify please come forward.

- **Jim Kaiser:** Rita Barrett, Jim Kaiser for EQT.
- **Bradley Lambert:** You may proceed, Mr. Kaiser.
- **Jim Kaiser:** Ms. Barrett, is this again a disbursement request?
- **Rita Barrett:** It is.
- **Jim Kaiser:** Have all parties been notified as required by statute?
- **Rita Barrett:** Yes.
- **Jim Kaiser:** And what unit does this disbursement affect?
- **Rita Barrett:** This is unit 502974.
- **Jim Kaiser:** And which tract or tracts?
- **Rita Barrett:** Tracts 2 and 3.
- **Jim Kaiser:** And is this a partial or full disbursement?
- **Rita Barrett:** This will be a full disbursement.
- **Jim Kaiser:** And the reason for the disbursement?
- **Rita Barrett:** We have a letter from Range Resources dated March 21, 2014, wherein they
- relinquish their claim to the coalbed methane royalties.
- **Jim Kaiser:** Have all figures been reconciled between the Escrow Agent and EQT?
- **Rita Barrett:** Yes. As of June 13, 2014.
- **Jim Kaiser:** And should the Board use the next to last column on the right, the percentage of
- escrowed funds to be disbursed in Table 1 for purposes of disbursement?
- 20 Rita Barrett: Yes.
- **Jim Kaiser:** And does Table 1 represent who should receive those disbursements and at what
- 22 percentage?
- 23 Rita Barrett: Yes.
- **Jim Kaiser:** And have you provided the Board with an Exhibit EE to reflect the facts of this
- unit after the disbursement?
- **Rita Barrett:** Yes.

- 1 <u>Jim Kaiser:</u> And would you ask that the order provide that all royalties due these owners be
- 2 paid directly going forward?
- **Rita Barrett:** Yes.
- **Jim Kaiser:** Nothing further of this witness at this time, Mr. Chairman.
- **Bradley Lambert:** Ms. Barrett, did you testify that this disbursement was because of a letter
- 6 from Mr. Horn?
- **Rita Barrett:** Yes. We have a letter dated March 21, 2014, from Range, wherein they
- 8 relinquish their claim to coalbed methane royalties.
- **Bradley Lambert:** Did you submit that letter as part of the package?
- **Rita Barrett:** Yes. I have a copy of it here, if you would like it.
- **Jim Kaiser:** You need a copy?
- **Bradley Lambert:** Mr. Cooper, do you have that in your file?
- **Rick Cooper:** That's what I'm looking at real quick here. No, we do not have the letter. We
- need them to upload this today, no later than tomorrow.
- **Rita Barrett:** Okay.
- **Bradley Lambert:** Any other questions from the Board? [No response] Do you have anything
- 17 further, Mr. Kaiser?
- **Jim Kaiser:** Mr. Chairman, we would ask that the application be approved as submitted, with
- the addition of the letter from Mr. Horn with the Exhibit attached to it showing the list of Wells.
- **Bradley Lambert:** Do I have a motion?
- 21 Mary Quillen: Motion to approve.
- **Bruce Prather:** Second.
- **Bradley Lambert:** I have a motion and I have a second. Any further discussion? [No
- response All in favor signify by saying yes.
- **Board:** Yes.
- **Bradley Lambert:** Opposed no. [No response] Thank you, Mr. Kaiser. That's approved.
- **Jim Kaiser:** Thank you.

<u>Item Number 6</u>

- **Bradley Lambert:** We're calling Docket Item Number 6. A petition from EQT Production
- 3 Company, for disbursement and authorization for direct payment on behalf of all known owners
- 4 in Tracts 1, 2, 5, 6, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12 and 13 in Well VC-504482. Docket Number VGOB-01-
- 5 0619-0899-02. All parties wishing to testify please come forward.
- **Jim Kaiser:** Jim Kaiser and Rita Barrett, again, on behalf of EQT.
- **Bradley Lambert:** You may proceed, Mr. Kaiser.
- **Jim Kaiser:** Ms. Barrett, again, is this a disbursement request?
- **Rita Barrett:** It is.

- **Jim Kaiser:** Have all parties been notified?
- 11 Rita Barrett: Yes.
- **Jim Kaiser:** What unit does this disbursement affect?
- **Rita Barrett:** This is unit VC-4482.
- **Jim Kaiser:** Which tracts are we disbursing from?
- **Rita Barrett:** We're disbursing Tracts 1, 2, 8, 10, 11, 13, 12, 5, 9 and 6.
- **Jim Kaiser:** And is this a partial or full disbursement?
- **Rita Barrett:** This is a full disbursement.
- **Jim Kaiser:** And the reason for the disbursement?
- **Rita Barrett:** We have a letter from Range Resources dated March 21, 2014, wherein they
- 20 relinquish their claim to the coalbed methane royalty.
- **Jim Kaiser:** Have the figures been reconciled between the Escrow Agent and EQT.
- **Rita Barrett:** They have, as of August 14, 2014.
- **Jim Kaiser:** Should we direct the Board to the next to last column on the right in Table 1,
- 24 percentage of escrowed funds to be disbursed for them to use for purposes of disbursement going
- 25 forward?
- **Rita Barrett:** Yes.
- **Jim Kaiser:** And this Table 1 reflects who should receive those disbursements and in what
- amount?

- 1 Rita Barrett: It does.
- 2 **Jim Kaiser:** And have we provided the Board with an Exhibit EE to reflect the facts of this
- 3 disbursement?
- 4 Rita Barrett: Yes.
- 5 **Jim Kaiser:** And would you ask that any order direct that royalties be paid to these owners
- 6 directly going forward?
- 7 Rita Barrett: Yes.
- 8 **Jim Kaiser:** Nothing further of this witness, Mr. Chairman.
- 9 **Bradley Lambert:** Ms. Barrett, I meant to ask back in the earlier docket item and I forgot to,
- but just so that I'm clear on something. In Exhibit EE, these parties that are listed as unleased
- and the reason we're paying out is because of the letter, is that correct?
- 12 **Rita Barrett:** Yes.
- 13 **Bradley Lambert:** And we won't see these in EE the next time these people.....these will be
- paid out, but going forward we'll move these from EE, move them out of EE...the unleased
- parties. Is that correct? In EE?
- 16 Rita Barrett: Yes.
- 17 **Bradley Lambert:** Okay. I've got one other question and you may or may not could answer
- this one. This might be a question for Range. I don't think anyone is here from Range. In Phil
- 19 Horn's letter, he states that "EQT, as the operator of these units, has placed or will place all
- 20 royalty proceeds attributable to the conflicting claims that it relates to CBM ownership into
- 21 escrow or a suspense account." Could you tell us what that suspense account is, where it
- 22 resides?
- 23 **Rita Barrett:** I'm assuming that, in instances where all parties were leased and there was no
- 24 pooling required and there were conflicting claimants, those royalties were placed in suspense
- 25 account internally, at Equitable.
- 26 **Bradley Lambert:** How does this Board know when those get paid out?
- 27 **Rita Barrett:** I'm not sure. I assume they probably should notify you folks that they are paying
- those out.
- 29 **Bradley Lambert:** Let me ask Mr. Cooper. Do we get notified when those royalties are paid
- out of the suspense account?
- 31 **Rick Cooper:** We do not. Unless it comes in front of the Board, we're not aware of it.

- 1 **Bradley Lambert:** Mr. Cooper, working with EQT, could you follow up on that?
- 2 **Rick Cooper:** I will. I'll try to get some results and report on that at the next Board meeting.
- 3 <u>Jim Kaiser:</u> We could probably file an amended supplemental order or something.
- 4 Rick Cooper: I'll see if I can follow up on that and get us a good, solid answer at the next
- 5 meeting.
- 6 **Bradley Lambert:** Okay. Mr. Cooper?
- 7 **Rick Cooper:** So, these are leased parties? Let me make sure I understand, here. These are
- 8 leased or unleased people we're talking about here?
- 9 **Rita Barrett:** We're talking about, in instances where we had 100% of the unit leased but there
- were conflicting claims as to the coalbed methane or conflicting claims for any other reason, that
- those royalties are placed in a suspense account, internally, at Equitable.
- 12 **Paul Kugelman:** How do those funds avoid going into the escrow account. I don't understand.
- 13 If you have a conflicting claim for the royalty interest?
- 14 **Rita Barrett:** That's a question for Equitable's legal department. That decision was made years
- 15 ago.
- 16 **Paul Kugelman:** I'm not asking you to bind Equitable. I was just wondering if somebody could
- be so kind as to explain to me how royalties that are in conflict are somehow not making it
- 18 into....
- 19 **Rita Barrett:** I think they're basing that on the fact that the unit was 100% leased.
- 20 **Paul Kugelman:** So, there's no forced pooling order?
- 21 **Rita Barrett:** Correct.
- 22 <u>Jim Kaiser:</u> There's no jurisdiction.
- 23 **Paul Kugelman:** I follow you. That's what I needed to understand. Thank you.
- 24 **Bradley Lambert:** And Range Resources giving up their claim really releases that money back
- 25 to the royalty owner.
- 26 **<u>Rita Barrett:</u>** It does.
- **Rick Cooper:** So, we're clear if it's not a pooled unit, it's not a Board item. Correct?
- 28 **Rita Barrett:** Correct.

- **Rick Cooper:** And that's what you're talking about in this situation.
- 2 Rita Barrett: Yes, sir.
- **Bruce Prather:** Is there any way that this could be resolved? If you put it in suspension, that
- 4 doesn't come before the Board or anybody else and these people don't ask for their money, is it
- 5 just going to stay in suspension forever?
- 6 Rita Barrett: If Range sends EQT a letter saying that they don't claim the coalbed methane
- 7 royalties in a specific well or a specific tract, that money should be released from that internal
- 8 suspense. It's my understanding that it is being released. Again, we can confirm that.
- **Jim Kaiser:** But, that would be a matter of private contract between those parties and EQT.
- 10 The Board or the Department has nothing to do with it. You don't have any jurisdiction.
- **Bradley Lambert:** So, Range has released the claim. So, it's up to EQT to work with the...
- **Jim Kaiser:** Person who's due the money.
- **Bradley Lambert:** Who's due the money to get the money back to him.
- 14 Mary Quillen: I have just one question on that. Then, this would not come before this Board,
- 15 again.
- **Rita Barrett:** No, because they're 100% leased.
- **Jim Kaiser:** It's a voluntary unit.
- **Mary Quillen:** Okay. Thanks.
- **Bradley Lambert:** Any other questions from the Board? [No response] Anything further, Mr.
- 20 Kaiser?
- **Jim Kaiser:** We would ask that the application be approved as submitted, Mr. Chairman.
- **Bradley Lambert:** Do I have a motion?
- **Bill Harris:** Motion for approval.
- **Bruce Prather:** Second.
- **Bradley Lambert:** I have a motion and I have a second. Any further discussion? [No
- 26 response] All in favor signify by saying 'yes.'
- **Board:** Yes.
- **Bradley Lambert:** Opposed no. [No response] Thank you, Mr. Kaiser. That's approved.

1 Jim Kaiser/Rita Barrett: Thank you.

2 <u>Item Number 7</u>

- **Bradley Lambert:** We're calling Docket Item Number 7. A petition from EQT Production
- 4 Company for disbursement and authorization for direct payment on behalf of all known owners
- 5 in Tract 4 in Well PC-313 or VC-751313. Docket Number VGOB 93-0119-0309-06. All parties
- 6 wishing to testify please come forward.
- 7 Jim Kaiser: Rita Barrett and Jim Kaiser again, Mr. Chairman.
- **Bradley Lambert:** You may proceed, Mr. Kaiser.
- **Jim Kaiser:** Ms. Barrett, again, we're here on a disbursement request?
- **Rita Barrett:** We are.
- **Jim Kaiser:** Have all parties been notified?
- 12 Rita Barrett: Yes.
- **Jim Kaiser:** And what unit does this disbursement affect?
- **Rita Barrett:** This is Unit PC-313.
- **Jim Kaiser:** What Tract?
- **Rita Barrett:** Tract 4.
- **Jim Kaiser:** And this is a partial disbursement?
- **Rita Barrett:** It is.
- **Jim Kaiser:** And the reason for the disbursement?
- **Rita Barrett:** We have a letter from Range Resources dated March 21, 2014, wherein they
- 21 relinquish their claim to the coalbed methane royalties.
- **Jim Kaiser:** Have the figures been reconciled between the Escrow Agent and the bank?
- **Rita Barrett:** They have, as of September 12, 2012.
- **Jim Kaiser:** Do you have some, I guess for lack of a better term, "speculation" as to why the
- 25 date is 2012?

- 1 Rita Barrett: I asked Mr. Cooper. We're checking on that. I don't know if this well is shut in
- or if it was donated to the new school, but I'm not sure why this reconciliation date is 2012. Did
- 3 Mr. Lovett?
- 4 Rick Cooper: We're checking on the status. I don't know right as we speak what the status is, if
- 5 it's shut in or not.
- 6 **Bradley Lambert:** So, you think that's a possibility?
- 7 **Rick Cooper:** It is a possibility, yes. Someone is checking on that, as we speak.
- 8 **Rita Barrett:** I know it's around the new school.
- 9 **Jim Kaiser:** She thinks it's possible that, maybe they donated it to the school to use for heating
- purposes. In other words, there hasn't been any commercial production from it, probably since
- 11 2012.
- 12 **Bradley Lambert:** Seems like there was some kind of issue about a well being used for.....I
- think I remember talking to the Industrial Development Authority about that. The well was shut
- in to be used by the school, but it turned out the school couldn't use it after it was shut in. I'm not
- sure if that's this well, or not.
- 16 **<u>Rita Barrett:</u>** I feel pretty certain it is 313.
- 17 **Bradley Lambert:** All right.
- 18 **Jim Kaiser:** And the percentage the Board should use for disbursement purposes would be
- reflected in the next to last column on the right of Table 1?
- 20 **Rita Barrett:** Yes.
- 21 **Jim Kaiser:** And that Table 1 reflects who should receive the disbursements and in what
- 22 amount?
- 23 **Rita Barrett:** Yes.
- 24 **Jim Kaiser:** Have you provided the Board with Exhibits E and EE to reflect the facts of this
- 25 unit after the disbursement?
- 26 **Rita Barrett:** Yes.
- 27 <u>Jim Kaiser:</u> Would you ask that any order direct the royalties to be paid to these owners
- 28 directly, going forward?
- 29 **Rita Barrett:** Yes.
- 30 **Jim Kaiser:** Nothing further of this witness, Mr. Chairman.

- **Bradley Lambert:** Any questions from the Board? [No response] Anything further, Mr.
- 2 Kaiser?
- **Jim Kaiser:** We would ask that the application be approved as submitted.
- **Bradley Lambert:** Do I have a motion?
- **Bill Harris:** Motion for approval.
- **Bruce Prather:** Second.
- **Bradley Lambert:** I have a motion and I have a second. Any further discussion? [No
- 8 response] All in favor signify by saying yes.
- **Board:** Yes.
- **Bradley Lambert:** Opposed no. [No response] Thank you, Mr. Kaiser. That's approved.
- **Jim Kaiser:** Thank you.
- **Rita Barrett:** Thank you.
- 13 <u>Item Number 8</u>
- **Bradley Lambert:** We're calling Docket Item Number 8. A petition from EQT Production
- 15 Company, for disbursement of funds and authorization of direct payment on behalf of all known
- owners in Tracts 1, 2, 4 and 5 on Well VC-536070. Docket Number VGOB 04-0921-1337-08.
- 17 All parties wishing to testify please come forward.
- **Jim Kaiser:** Mr. Chairman, again Rita Barrett and Jim Kaiser.
- **Bradley Lambert:** You may proceed, Mr. Kaiser.
- **Jim Kaiser:** Ms. Barrett, again we're here on a disbursement request?
- **Rita Barrett:** We are.
- **Jim Kaiser:** Have all parties been notified?
- 23 Rita Barrett: Yes.
- **Jim Kaiser:** What unit does this disbursement affect?
- **Rita Barrett:** This is Unit VC-536070.
- **Jim Kaiser:** And which tracts are we disbursing from?

- **Rita Barrett:** Tracts 1, 2, 4 and 5.
- **Jim Kaiser:** And this will be a partial disbursement for this unit?
- **Rita Barrett:** It is partial.
- **Jim Kaiser:** The reason for disbursement?
- **Rita Barrett:** We have a letter from Range Resources dated January 14, 2014, wherein they
- 6 relinquish their claim to the coalbed methane royalties.
- **Jim Kaiser:** Have the figures been reconciled between the escrow agent and EQT?
- **Rita Barrett:** Yes, as of August 14, 2014.
- **Jim Kaiser:** Should the Board look to the next to last column on the right in Table A for the
- 10 percentage of escrowed funds?
- **Rita Barrett:** Yes.
- **Jim Kaiser:** And does Table 1 represent the parties and amounts and percentages of
- disbursement each should receive?
- 14 Rita Barrett: Yes.
- **Jim Kaiser:** And have you provided the Board with Exhibits E and EE to reflect the facts of this
- unit after disbursement?
- **Rita Barrett:** Yes, and we do have one correction. We have a change of address for LeeAnn S.
- 18 Crane. That address is 100 Joshua Road; Smithfield, VA 23430. I suppose we could revise the
- 19 exhibit and upload that.
- **Jim Kaiser:** Let's do that. Would you ask that any order have the royalties be paid to these
- 21 owners directly going forward?
- **Rita Barrett:** Yes.
- **Jim Kaiser:** Nothing further of this witness at this time, Mr. Chairman.
- **Bradley Lambert:** Any questions from the Board? [No response] Anything further, Mr.
- 25 Kaiser?
- Jim Kaiser: We would ask that the application be approved with the corrected Table A, Table 1
- 27 to reflect the right address.
- **Bradley Lambert:** Do I have a motion?

- 1 Mary Quillen: Motion to approve.
- 2 **Bruce Prather:** Second.
- 3 **Bradley Lambert:** I have a motion and I have a second. Any further discussion? [No
- 4 response] All in favor signify by saying yes.
- 5 **Board:** Yes.
- 6 **Bradley Lambert:** Opposed no.
- 7 **Donnie Ratliff:** I'll abstain, Mr. Chairman.
- 8 **Bradley Lambert:** One abstention. Mr. Ratliff. Thank you, Mr. Kaiser. That's approved.
- 9 **Jim Kaiser:** Thank you.
- 10 **Rita Barrett:** Thank you.

11 <u>Item Number 9</u>

- 12 **Bradley Lambert:** We're calling Docket Item Number 9. A petition from CNX Gas Company,
- LLC, for the disbursement of escrowed funds heretofore deposited with the Board's escrow agent
- attributable to Tracts 1B and 1C as depicted upon the annexed Table 1; and authorization to
- begin paying royalties directly to the parties to the prevailing plaintiffs. This is Docket Number
- VGOB 03-0415-1142-03. All parties wishing to testify please come forward.
- 17 Mark Swartz: In response to your question, Mark Swartz and Anita Duty.
- 18 Sarah Gilmer: Ms. Duty, do you swear or affirm that your testimony is the truth, the whole
- truth and nothing but the truth?
- 20 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 21 **Bradley Lambert:** I guess before we begin, I need to read into the record a letter from T. Shea
- 22 Cook, Attorney at Law. This was sent via fax on November 18, 2014.
- 23 **Rick Cooper:** We received it at 9:34.
- 24 **Bradley Lambert:** Received at 9:34. It says, "Dear Rick, I represent Doris Dye and Dollie
- 25 Belcher. I will be faxing the limited Powers of Attorney today, authorizing me to receive the
- distribution check, as has been the previous practice. I request that, upon receipt, that the
- 27 disbursements be processed in accordance with the Powers of Attorney." We have that letter and
- read into the record. However, we don't have the Power of Attorney, as of the time of the
- 29 hearing this morning. We will proceed, as usual. You may proceed, Mr. Swartz.

- 1 Mark Swartz: Thank you. Would you state your name for us, please?
- 2 **Anita Duty:** Anita Duty.
- 3 Mark Swartz: And who do you work for?
- 4 Anita Duty: CNX Land Resources.
- 5 Mark Swartz: And we're here on a petition for a disbursement. Correct?
- 6 Anita Duty: Yes. CNX Land, LLC.
- 7 Mark Swartz: Okay. We're here on a petition for a disbursement this morning?
- 8 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 9 Mark Swartz: And it involves what drilling unit?
- 10 Anita Duty: FF33.
- 11 Mark Swartz: And what tracts?
- 12 Anita Duty: Tracts 1B and 1C
- 13 Mark Swartz: And the reason for the disbursement is what?
- 14 **Anita Duty:** We received a court order.
- 15 Mark Swartz: That determined the title issue, I assume.
- 16 **Anita Duty:** I'm sorry. Yes.
- 17 Mark Swartz: And resolved the conflict?
- 18 **Anita Duty:** It did.
- 19 Mark Swartz: Okay. Have you actually seen that order and reviewed it?
- 20 **Anita Duty:** I have.
- 21 Mark Swartz: And have you prepared the table with regard to this distribution consistent with
- 22 the terms of that order?
- 23 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 24 Mark Swartz: There was a winner and a loser, right?
- 25 **Anita Duty:** Yes.

- 1 Mark Swartz: So, somebody gets 100%?
- 2 **Anita Duty:** They do.
- 3 Mark Swartz: And we'll get to that. Did you, as is your custom, compare the checks that the
- 4 operator sent to the collection of escrow agents over the years to the deposits that the escrow
- 5 agents booked?
- 6 Anita Duty: I did.
- 7 Mark Swartz: And did you prepare an Exhibit with regard to that comparison?
- 8 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 9 **Mark Swartz:** And that's Exhibit J, I think?
- 10 **Anita Duty:** It is.
- 11 Mark Swartz: At the last page of Exhibit J, what was the date as of which that comparison was
- done?
- 13 **Anita Duty:** July 31, 2014.
- 14 Mark Swartz: Did you show the total deposits at that summary page?
- 15 **Anita Duty:** I did.
- 16 Mark Swartz: And then there have been two previous disbursements?
- 17 **Anita Duty:** There has.
- 18 Mark Swartz: And you accounted for those?
- 19 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 20 Mark Swartz: And then you attempted to account for interest and fees that the bank apparently
- 21 has shown?
- 22 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 23 Mark Swartz: When you compared, at the end of the day, how close was what you had
- 24 deposited over the years, as operator, to the balance that you've accounted for?
- 25 **Anita Duty:** There was a difference of \$48.48...negative.
- 26 Mark Swartz: Is it your view that that difference is accounted for by the interest and fees that
- the banks have charged over the years?

- 1 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 2 Mark Swartz: That's the reason for that?
- 3 **Anita Duty:** I would assume, yes.
- 4 Mark Swartz: Going to the table that you've prepared. If you could find that, it's Table 1, I
- 5 believe.
- 6 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 7 Mark Swartz: You have prepared a section of Table 1 for each tract, correct?
- 8 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 9 Mark Swartz: And you show for each tract that the party who is going to receive the funds
- attributable to the tract, is going to receive 100% of the deposit...what's on deposit at the time
- 11 disbursement is made?
- 12 **Anita Duty:** Correct.
- 13 Mark Swartz: Okay. With regard to Tract 1B, who is to receive that disbursement?
- 14 Anita Duty: Dollie Absher.
- 15 **Mark Swartz:** And in what percentage?
- Anita Duty: 22.3089% from the account.
- 17 **Mark Swartz:** Then, with regard to Tract 1C?
- 18 **Anita Duty:** Doris Dye. She is to receive 44.7738% of the account.
- 19 Mark Swartz: And the conflicting claimants, conflicting against Dollie Absher and Doris Dye,
- 20 neither receives any disbursement at all, correct?
- 21 Anita Duty: Correct.
- 22 Mark Swartz: And the escrow agent should use these percentages that you've just read into the
- record, at the time the disbursement is made?
- 24 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 25 Mark Swartz: And, I assume, given the percentages, that you've reported that there will still
- 26 need to be an escrow account for the difference?
- 27 **Anita Duty:** There will be.

- 1 Mark Swartz: Okay. Have you provided the Board with a revised Exhibit E to show who is
- 2 still in escrow?
- 3 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 4 Mark Swartz: Okay. And have you provided a revised Exhibit EE?
- 5 **Anita Duty:** Yes, we have.
- 6 Mark Swartz: And are you asking the courts' permission, in the event that this petition for
- 7 disbursement is granted, to pay the people who prevailed in this lawsuit directly in the future?
- 8 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 9 Mark Swartz: That's all I have, Mr. Chairman.
- 10 **Bradley Lambert:** Ms. Duty, the letter I just read from Shea Cook lists Doris Dye and Dollie
- Belcher. Should that be Dollie Absher instead of Belcher or are we talking about separate
- people?
- Anita Duty: Actually, Dollie Belcher is the prevailing Plaintiff, but she sent us a W-9 and
- information regarding....she got married ten years ago, so I guess Shea Cook doesn't know that.
- 15 **Paul Kugelman:** So, just to be clear, Dollie Belcher does not exist with respect to this
- 16 disbursement?
- 17 **Anita Duty:** Dollie Belcher is Dollie Absher.
- **Paul Kugelman:** So, that's her former name, that's her maiden name....Belcher?
- Anita Duty: That's her former, I think that's her former married name, but I'm not positive.
- 20 **Paul Kugelman:** Okay.
- 21 Anita Duty: Dollie Belcher is not her current...
- 22 **Paul Kugelman:** Current name.
- 23 **Anita Duty:** No.
- 24 **Paul Kugelman:** Okay.
- 25 **Bradley Lambert:** Any other questions from the Board? [No response] Anything further, Mr.
- 26 Swartz?
- 27 Mary Quillen: Just one little question to clarify that. Her legal name now and what you have
- on record is Absher, right?

- 1 Anita Duty: When we requested a W-9, she provided her information to us and let us know that
- 2 she is married and her name is Absher.
- 3 Mary Quillen: Absher. Okay.
- 4 **Bradley Lambert:** Just wanted to clear that up for the record. Any other questions from the
- 5 Board? [No response] Anything further, Mr. Swartz?
- 6 Mark Swartz: No.
- 7 **Bradley Lambert:** Do I have a motion?
- 8 **Bill Harris:** Motion to approve.
- 9 **Bruce Prather:** Second.
- 10 **Bradley Lambert:** I have a motion and a second. Any further discussion?
- 11 Mary Quillen: We are voting on paying these people directly, correct?
- 12 **Bradley Lambert:** Yes, going forward pay them directly.
- 13 **Mark Swartz:** Yes.
- 14 **Mary Quillen:** That's all.
- 15 **Bradley Lambert:** Okay.
- 16 **Bill Harris:** That was my understanding, in making the motion, that it would be paying them
- 17 directly.
- 18 **Bradley Lambert:** Any other discussion? [No response] All in favor signify by saying 'yes.'
- 19 **Board:** Yes.
- 20 **Bradley Lambert:** Opposed no. [No response] Thank you, Mr. Swartz. That's approved.

21 Item Number 10

- 22 **Bradley Lambert:** We're calling Docket Item Number 10. A petition from CNX Gas
- 23 Company, LLC, for refund of escrow payments heretofore deposited with the Board's Escrow
- 24 Agent; Reimburse overpayment of funds deposited with the Board's Escrow Agent attributable to
- unit EE33 to CNX Gas Company, LLC.; the disbursement of escrowed funds heretofore
- deposited with the Board's escrow agent attributable to tracts 2C & 2D as depicted upon the
- annexed Table 1; and authorization to begin paying royalties directly to the parties of the royalty
- 28 split agreement between Swords Creek Land Partnership and Jackie Richardson and Phyllis

- 1 Richardson, as well as authorization to begin paying royalties directly to the prevailing parties;
- 2 Dollie S. Absher. Docket Number VGOB 02-0820-1054-02. All parties wishing to testify
- 3 please come forward.
- 4 Mark Swartz: Mark Swartz and Anita Duty.
- 5 **Bradley Lambert:** You may proceed, Mr. Swartz.
- 6 Mark Swartz: Thank you. Anita, you're still under oath, right?
- 7 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 8 Mark Swartz: You need to state your name for us, again.
- 9 **Anita Duty:** Anita Duty.
- 10 Mark Swartz: Who do you work for this time?
- 11 Anita Duty: CNX Land, LLC.
- 12 Mark Swartz: Okay. And this is another petition for disbursement, correct?
- 13 Anita Duty: It is.
- 14 Mark Swartz: And it pertains to what drilling unit?
- 15 **Anita Duty:** EE33.
- 16 Mark Swartz: Okay. Before the disbursement can be made, there needs to be a refund to the
- 17 operator, correct?
- 18 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 19 Mark Swartz: Can you tell the Board what happened that would require that?
- 20 **Anita Duty:** When the initial well was set up, there was a disbursement that came after that.
- 21 For some reason, internally, we did not update and reduce the First Bank and Trust and put those
- parties on direct pay. That initial escrowed amount continued to be paid into escrow. When the
- 23 second well came online, I guess they realized that something was missed and they corrected it.
- 24 That's the reason there hasn't been a deposit into the account because it's in a recoupment status,
- since that second well came online.
- 26 **Paul Kugelman:** Question.
- 27 **Bradley Lambert:** Mr. Kugelman.

- 1 **Paul Kugelman:** Was there a double payment here? Were the royalties going directly to these
- 2 people and into the escrow account or just into the escrow account?
- 3 Anita Duty: Just into the escrow account. They were never placed on direct pay. So, what
- 4 we've done is we've corrected that and placed them on direct pay. In the meantime, we've
- 5 overpaid the escrow account because we've kind of paid both.
- 6 **Paul Kugelman:** So, the operator has paid royalties to the people direct pay in error at 100%
- 7 now... is what you're representing to the Board here?
- 8 Anita Duty: Correct, from the prior disbursement.
- 9 **Paul Kugelman:** Okay. So, there is a double payment. Is that correct, Mr. Swartz?
- 10 Mark Swartz: It eventually became a double payment.
- 11 **Paul Kugelman:** Right. It is now.
- 12 **Mark Swartz:** Yes.
- 13 **Paul Kugelman:** That's the question. Thank you.
- 14 Mark Swartz: Just to summarize, I think, what.....correct me if I'm wrong here. It took a while
- to discover the mistake and for that period of time there was not a double payment. One
- payment was going to the escrow agent. Once the mistake was discovered, they caught up with
- the people they should have been paying, but they had still left the money in escrow. At that
- point, there became a double payment.
- 19 **Paul Kugelman:** And there's no arrearage by the operator, correct? To these people?
- 20 Mark Swartz: Correct.
- 21 **Paul Kugelman:** Okay. That's what I just want to make sure of.
- 22 Mark Swartz: But, the double payment wasn't immediate.
- 23 **Paul Kugelman:** I understand. Thank you for making that clear.
- 24 Mark Swartz: Okay. Have you then calculated the portion of the escrow account in the dollars
- and cents amount, as opposed to a percentage amount, that should come back to the operator
- 26 before the disbursement is made to close out this account?
- 27 Anita Duty: Correct.
- 28 Mark Swartz: Okay. And you have reported that in a table, I assume?
- 29 **Anita Duty:** We have.

- 1 Mark Swartz: Would that be Table 1?
- 2 Anita Duty: Yes, I think there's a Table 1 and a Table 2.
- 3 Mark Swartz: Okay. And Table 1 is the table that deals with the amount of the eventual double
- 4 payment that needs to be re-paid to the operator, correct?
- 5 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 6 Mark Swartz: And, then, Table 2 is the amount that needs to be disbursed to owners?
- 7 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 8 Mark Swartz: Okay. So, let's start with Table 1. What is the amount, at this point, an
- 9 overpayment into this escrow account that needs to be paid out to CNX?
- 10 **Anita Duty:** It's \$21, 236.28.
- 11 Mark Swartz: And the Escrow Agent should actually use that amount when cutting that check?
- 12 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 13 Mark Swartz: As opposed to some percentage?
- 14 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 15 Mark Swartz: And then we go to Table 2. What tracts are subject to this disbursement?
- 16 Anita Duty: Tracts 2C and 2D.
- 17 Mark Swartz: Could you identify the people that are to receive the disbursements with regard
- 18 to 2C?
- 19 **Anita Duty:** For Tract 2C, Swords Creek should receive 0.4751%. Jackie Richardson should
- receive 0.4751%. Swords Creek should receive an additional 0.4751% and Phyllis Richardson
- should receive 0.4751%.
- 22 Mark Swartz: When those payments are made, the escrow agent should actually use the
- percentage that you've just reported at the time the disbursement is made?
- 24 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 25 Mark Swartz: Now, with regard to Tract 2D. Who receives that payment?
- Anita Duty: Dollie Absher should receive 98.0998% of the escrow account.
- 27 Mark Swartz: Again, when that payment is made to Dollie Absher, the escrow agent should
- actually use the percentage and apply it at the time the payment is made?

- 1 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 2 Mark Swartz: The wells contributing to this escrow account are which wells?
- 3 **Anita Duty:** EE33 and EE33A.
- 4 Mark Swartz: Did you do a comparison of deposits booked by the escrow agents to what the
- 5 operator had paid in over the years?
- 6 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 7 Mark Swartz: And when you did that, what was the date as of which you made the
- 8 comparison?
- 9 **Anita Duty:** July 31, 2014.
- 10 Mark Swartz: And did you account for a prior disbursement?
- 11 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 12 Mark Swartz: Interest and fees?
- 13 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 14 Mark Swartz: Okay. After you did that, how close were you, in terms of agreeing between the
- checks tendered and the balance as of that date?
- 16 **Anita Duty:** We had an overage of \$19.80.
- 17 Mark Swartz: There was a little bit more in the account?
- 18 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 19 Mark Swartz: And that would be, again, a fee and interest issue?
- 20 **Anita Duty:** Yes, I'm assuming.
- 21 Mark Swartz: Because you found all of your deposits?
- 22 **Anita Duty:** We did.
- 23 Mark Swartz: Okay. There are actually two different reasons for the disbursements. We've got
- a court order that pertains to Dollie Absher, right?
- 25 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 26 Mark Swartz: And then we have, with regards to Swords Creek and the Richardsons, we have
- 27 Split Agreements?

- 1 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 2 Mark Swartz: And have you actually reviewed the Split Agreement?
- 3 **Anita Duty:** I have.
- 4 Mark Swartz: And is it a 50/50?
- 5 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 6 Mark Swartz: I take it, this is the same court case that we spoke about in the last hearing?
- 7 **Anita Duty:** It is.
- 8 Mark Swartz: Okay. And you've seen that?
- 9 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 10 Mark Swartz: After these disbursements, the refund is made to CNX and the disbursements are
- made to the folks in Table 2, will there be any need to maintain this escrow account?
- 12 **Anita Duty:** No, it should be closed.
- 13 Mark Swartz: At that point?
- 14 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- Mark Swartz: Then, obviously, you're going to be paying these folks directly, hopefully, once
- that happens?
- 17 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 18 Mark Swartz: Okay. That's all I have, Mr. Chairman.
- 19 **Bradley Lambert:** Any questions from the Board?
- 20 **Donnie Ratliff:** Mr. Chairman.
- 21 **Bradley Lambert:** Mr. Ratliff.
- 22 **Donnie Ratliff:** The letter that was faxed after the meeting started, from Shea Cook to represent
- Dollie Absher, does that apply to this well, also?
- 24 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 25 **Mark Swartz:** In theory.
- 26 **Paul Kugelman:** The letter doesn't even identify what docket number he's talking about.

- 1 **Bradley Lambert:** Any other questions from the Board?
- 2 Mary Quillen: Just to clarify, this one will be paying Dollie Absher directly?
- 3 Mark Swartz: Right. We don't have a Power of Attorney. Yes.
- 4 Mary Quillen: I just want that clarified. Thank you.
- 5 **Bradley Lambert:** Any other questions? [No response] Anything further, Mr. Swartz?
- 6 Mark Swartz: No.
- 7 **Bradley Lambert:** Do I have a motion?
- 8 **Mary Quillen:** Motion to approve.
- 9 **Bruce Prather:** Second.
- 10 **Bradley Lambert:** I have a motion and a second. Any further discussion? [No response] All
- in favor signify by saying 'yes.'
- 12 **Board:** Yes.
- 13 **Bradley Lambert:** Opposed no. [No response] Thank you, Mr. Swartz. Approved.
- 14 <u>Item Number 11</u>
- 15 **Bradley Lambert:** We're calling Docket Item Number 11. A petition from CNX Gas
- 16 Company, LLC, for re-pooling of Unit AZ143. This is Docket Number VGOB 08-0115-2122-
- 17 01. All parties wishing to testify please come forward.
- 18 Mark Swartz: Mark Swartz and Anita Duty.
- 19 **Bradley Lambert:** You may proceed, Mr. Swartz.
- 20 Mark Swartz: Anita, you're still under oath?
- 21 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 22 Mark Swartz: Would you state your name for us?
- 23 **Anita Duty:** Anita Duty.
- 24 Mark Swartz: Who do you work for?
- 25 **Anita Duty:** CNX Land, LLC.

- 1 Mark Swartz: Did you prepare or supervise the preparation of the pooling application and the
- 2 notices and exhibits that pertain to this application?
- 3 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 4 Mark Swartz: And it's an application to re-pool?
- 5 **Anita Duty:** It is.
- 6 Mark Swartz: What has caused the need to re-pool this unit?
- 7 Anita Duty: There was a mapping change in the lower, southern corner.
- 8 Mark Swartz: Southeast corner?
- 9 **Anita Duty:** Southeast corner, yes.
- 10 Mark Swartz: Basically, the changes pertain to a tract, if I can find the map here for a second....
- 11 If we look at the plat, there is a tract that's been divided into 2A, 2B and 2C and that's,
- essentially, the focus of where the changes occurred?
- 13 **Anita Duty:** It is.
- 14 Mark Swartz: And the changes were mapping. It wasn't agreements or discovering new
- people, necessarily. Correct?
- 16 Anita Duty: Correct.
- 17 Mark Swartz: Did another piece of a tract move into the unit because of the mapping changes?
- 18 Anita Duty: 2C.
- 19 Mark Swartz: 2C did, which is that tiny little bit in the very southeast corner.
- 20 **Anita Duty:** It is.
- 21 Mark Swartz: Okay. What field is this unit in?
- 22 **Anita Duty:** This is the Middle Ridge field.
- 23 Mark Swartz: How many acres are in this unit?
- 24 **Anita Duty:** 58.74.
- 25 Mark Swartz: And this unit was originally pooled in what year?
- 26 **Anita Duty:** 2008.

- 1 Mark Swartz: Okay. And what interest have you been able to acquire and what interest are
- 2 you seeking to re-pool?
- Anita Duty: We have acquired 100% of the coal owners' claim to CBM, 93.684 % of the oil
- 4 and gas owners' claim to CBM and we're seeking to pool 6.316% of the oil and gas owners'
- 5 claim to CBM.
- 6 Mark Swartz: Okay. What did you do to notify people that we would be having a hearing
- 7 today, with regard to this re-pooling?
- 8 Anita Duty: Mailed the notice and location map by certified mail, return receipt requested on
- 9 October 17, 2014, and published the notice and location map in the *Bluefield Daily Telegraph* on
- 10 October 21, 2014.
- 11 Mark Swartz: And have you previously filed those certificates of publication and your proofs,
- with regard to mailing, with the DGO?
- 13 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 14 Mark Swartz: Do you want to add any respondents today?
- 15 Anita Duty: No.
- 16 Mark Swartz: Do you want to dismiss any people today?
- 17 **Anita Duty:** Yes, we do. We want to dismiss Richlands Coal Company. We have a coal lease.
- 18 We should have never shown that on there as being unleased.
- 19 Mark Swartz: Can you distribute that to the Board? Mr. Cooper is providing the Board with an
- 20 Exhibit B2, which is a new exhibit.
- 21 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 22 Mark Swartz: On which you list some folks that you have leased that can be withdrawn or
- 23 dismissed from this application.
- 24 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 25 Mark Swartz: Also, because of that, you have revised the Exhibit B3 which is a list of folks
- that we're re-pooling?
- 27 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 28 Mark Swartz: Okay. So, they had a B3 before, but that's been revised and Exhibit B2 a new
- 29 exhibit?
- 30 **Anita Duty:** It is.

- 1 Mark Swartz: Other than dismissing the folks identified, or the companies identified, on
- 2 Exhibit B2, do you want to dismiss anyone else?
- 3 **Anita Duty:** No.
- 4 Mark Swartz: And you don't need to add anyone?
- 5 **Anita Duty:** No. We did upload that to e-Forms. We just did it yesterday.
- 6 Mark Swartz: Okay.
- 7 **Anita Duty:** We just found that yesterday.
- 8 **Bradley Lambert:** The exhibits they've just handed out?
- 9 **Anita Duty:** They were uploaded, too.
- 10 **Paul Kugelman:** They were uploaded yesterday, you said? I'm sorry.
- 11 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 12 Mark Swartz: The acres in this unit, again?
- 13 **Anita Duty:** 58.74.
- 14 Mark Swartz: Okay. In what county is it located?
- 15 **Anita Duty:** Tazewell County.
- 16 Mark Swartz: How many wells are in this unit?
- 17 **Anita Duty:** Two.
- 18 Mark Swartz: It looks like they're depicted on the plat, correct?
- 19 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 20 Mark Swartz: And they're both located within the drilling window of this unit?
- 21 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 22 Mark Swartz: I need to talk to you some about the applicant and operator. Who's the applicant
- 23 here?
- 24 **Anita Duty:** CNX Gas.
- 25 Mark Swartz: Is CNX Gas requesting that it also be appointed operator for this unit?
- 26 Anita Duty: Yes.

- 1 Mark Swartz: Or re-appointed as the operator, I guess?
- 2 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 3 Mark Swartz: Okay. To recap, the operator is a Virginia Limited Liability Company?
- 4 **Anita Duty:** It is.
- 5 Mark Swartz: And it's been authorized to do business and continues to be authorized to do
- 6 business in the Commonwealth?
- 7 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 8 Mark Swartz: CNX has registered with the Department of Gas and Oil?
- 9 **Anita Duty:** It has.
- 10 Mark Swartz: And in the Division of Mines, Minerals and Energy?
- 11 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 12 Mark Swartz: And it has a blanket bond on file?
- 13 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 14 Mark Swartz: With regard to this unit, virtually all of it has been leased. But, with regard to
- the little bit that isn't leased, what would be your standard lease terms that you would propose to
- the Board?
- Anita Duty: Five dollars per acre per year, with a five-year paid up term and one-eighth royalty.
- 18 Mark Swartz: And these wells in this unit are both frac wells?
- 19 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 20 Mark Swartz: And have you provided cost information?
- 21 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 22 <u>Mark Swartz:</u> With regard to the two wells, what are the respective costs?
- 23 **Anita Duty:** AZ-143 cost \$242,569.93. Estimated depth is 2,299 feet. Permit Number 8761.
- AZ-143A-\$365,753. Estimated depth is 2,440 feet. It's not permitted, yet.
- 25 Mark Swartz: AZ-143, that's the estimate from back in 2008, right?
- 26 **Anita Duty:** It is.
- 27 Mark Swartz: And, you're adding a well, which would be the 365, I take it?

- 1 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 2 <u>Mark Swartz:</u> Have you provided cost estimates for both of those?
- 3 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 4 Mark Swartz: And you only have a permit for the first well?
- 5 Anita Duty: Correct.
- 6 Mark Swartz: Is there an escrow requirement here?
- 7 **Anita Duty:** There is.
- 8 Mark Swartz: Okay. And have you provided the Board with an Exhibit E, in that respect?
- 9 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 10 Mark Swartz: There are no split agreements, as far as you know?
- 11 Anita Duty: No.
- Mark Swartz: So, there's no Exhibit EE?
- 13 **Anita Duty:** No.
- 14 Mark Swartz: Is the drilling of an additional well in the drilling window of this unit, in your
- opinion, a reasonable step to take to produce additional coalbed methane from this unit?
- 16 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 17 Mark Swartz: Is it your opinion that, if you combine a re-pooling order with regard to the
- respondents listed in B3 with the leases and acquisitions that the operator has acquired, the
- correlative rights of all people having interest in this unit will be protected?
- 20 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 21 Mark Swartz: With regard to, as we often address this issue, who would have a right to an
- 22 election on this re-pooling? Could you identify those people for us?
- 23 Anita Duty: It would be Tracts 2B and 2C. For 2B, it's M. M. Strouth and 2C is Danny
- 24 Clevinger.
- 25 Mark Swartz: Would get new election rights?
- 26 Anita Duty: Right. That's another thing that we changed on this. We had previously shown
- that Tract 2C as unknown. We've now identified.

- 1 Mark Swartz: In terms if it's re-pooled, those would be the folks that you just named who
- 2 would get a new election because their percentages have changed, right?
- 3 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 4 Mark Swartz: I believe that's all I have, Mr. Chairman.
- 5 **Bradley Lambert:** Any questions from the Board? Ms. Duty, there's no change in the map. 2C
- 6 has always been 2C. There's just a change of that you now know who the owners are?
- 7 Anita Duty: Originally, that tract was shown as Tract 3 and it was 0.38 acres. Now, we're
- 8 showing that that 0.38 acres goes actually into Tract 2B. So, 2C is actually just .02 acres, now.
- 9 There was a line change in that tract, between those tracts.
- 10 **Bradley Lambert:** So, there has been a change in the plat from the original?
- 11 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 12 **Bradley Lambert:** Okay. Any other questions from the Board?
- Mary Quillen: Just one question. Out of curiosity, how did you discover this teeny, tiny, little
- speck? GPS?
- Anita Duty: I'm sure that's what it was. We always have a title update when we're planning on
- drilling a second well on the unit and I'm sure that and field work and things like that caused
- the....plus, we wanted to identify the owner.
- 18 **Mary Quillen:** Right. Thank you.
- 19 **Bradley Lambert:** Any other questions? [No response] Anything further, Mr. Swartz?
- 20 Mark Swartz: No.
- 21 **Bradley Lambert:** Do I have a motion?
- 22 **Bill Harris:** Motion for approval.
- 23 **Bruce Prather:** Second.
- 24 **Bradley Lambert:** I have a motion and I have a second. Any further discussion? [No
- 25 response] All in favor signify by saying 'yes.'
- 26 **Board:** Yes.
- 27 **Bradley Lambert:** Opposed no. [No response] Thank you, Mr. Swartz. Approved.

<u>Item Number 12</u>

- 2 **Bradley Lambert:** We're calling Docket Item Number 12. A petition from CNX Gas
- 3 Company, LLC, for re-pooling of Unit O40. This is Docket Number VGOB 93-0420-0361-04.
- 4 All parties wishing to testify please come forward.
- 5 Mark Swartz: Mark Swartz and Anita Duty.
- 6 **Bradley Lambert:** You may proceed, Mr. Swartz.
- 7 Mark Swartz: Thank you. I would like to incorporate Anita's testimony with regard to her
- 8 employment, the operator and standard lease terms, if I could.
- 9 **Bradley Lambert:** Accepted.
- 10 Mark Swartz: Thank you. Anita, can you state your name for us, again?
- 11 **Anita Duty:** Anita Duty.
- 12 Mark Swartz: I'm going to remind you that you're still under oath.
- 13 Anita Duty: Yes.

1

- 14 **Mark Swartz:** Who do you work for?
- 15 Anita Duty: CNX Land, LLC.
- 16 Mark Swartz: Okay. Did you either prepare or supervise the preparation of this application,
- the notice and the related exhibits?
- 18 Anita Duty: I did.
- 19 Mark Swartz: And, you signed the notice and the application yourself?
- 20 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 21 Mark Swartz: This pertains to what unit?
- 22 **Anita Duty:** O40.
- 23 Mark Swartz: And are we seeking to re-pool that unit?
- 24 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 25 **Mark Swartz:** What kind of unit is it?
- 26 Anita Duty: It's an Oakwood.
- 27 **Mark Swartz:** How many acres?

- 1 Anita Duty: 80.
- 2 Mark Swartz: And it's actually been both an Oakwood I and an Oakwood II?
- 3 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 4 Mark Swartz: Okay. This unit was originally pooled in what year?
- 5 **Anita Duty:** In 1993.
- 6 Mark Swartz: What did you do to notify the respondents that we were going to have a hearing
- 7 today?
- 8 Anita Duty: We mailed by certified mail, return receipt requested on October 17, 2014,
- 9 published the notice and location map in the *Bluefield Daily Telegraph* on October 22, 2014.
- 10 Mark Swartz: And have you previously provided that information to the Division of Gas and
- 11 Oil?
- 12 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 13 Mark Swartz: Okay. What county is this located in?
- 14 Anita Duty: Buchanan.
- 15 Mark Swartz: What interest have you acquired as operator and what interest are you seeking to
- pool on this re-pooling?
- Anita Duty: We have acquired 99.9906% of the coal owners' claim to CBM, 98.7682% of the
- oil and gas owners' claim to CBM. Seeking to pool 0.0094% of the coal owners' claim and
- 19 1.2318% of the oil and gas owners' claim.
- 20 Mark Swartz: The reason for this re-pooling was what?
- 21 Anita Duty: There were mapping corrections. One of the reasons is J. C. Franks was working
- 22 with our mapping department and had several meetings with them and they came to an
- agreement, mostly in areas where his property was mapped incorrectly.
- 24 Mark Swartz: There was one line that was sort of an issue.
- 25 Anita Duty: Right. It goes horizontal across the property.
- Mark Swartz: If we look at the plat, let's see if we can point that line out to the Board. Is that
- the one that runs right through the center?
- 28 Anita Duty: Yes.

- 1 Mark Swartz: If you all look at the plat map that we've provided, just to the North of the two
- 2 well locations, there is a line that runs from East to West across the drilling window, sort of in
- 3 the middle of it. Is that the line we're talking about, here?
- 4 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 5 Mark Swartz: Mr. Franks and your company and others have been talking about that line for
- 6 quite some time and have finally resolved where it ought to be.
- 7 **Anita Duty:** They have.
- 8 Mark Swartz: As a result of that, this unit then required to be re-pooled.
- 9 Anita Duty: Correct. There were other changes within there, too. Just because of new
- technology.
- 11 Mark Swartz: But, just standing alone, that would have required...?
- 12 **Anita Duty:** Yes, that would have.
- 13 Mark Swartz: I think we're also, as long as we're here, I think we're making one trip and
- seeking to add a well? Am I right?
- 15 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 16 Mark Swartz: Okay. What were some of the other issues that came up with regard to re-
- pooling?
- 18 Anita Duty: What they had done is just look at the unit, as a whole, and there were some....like
- 19 the issue with Tract 3, the original map was pretty generic as far as what our technology is today
- of how we map. So, we needed to map this unit and the map below it to correct the issues.
- 21 Mark Swartz: So, Tract 3 is still in this unit, but it has been moved, substantially, to the south
- as compared to the first plat?
- 23 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 24 Mark Swartz: And that would be another reason to have done this?
- 25 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 26 Mark Swartz: Okay. With regard to these changes, before we kind of get back to some of the
- basic information that we always have, who are the people that would be entitled to a new
- 28 election because of percentage changes here?
- 29 **Anita Duty:** I think we're, pretty much, just going to do the whole unit.

- 1 Mark Swartz: Say what?
- 2 **Anita Duty:** I think we'll just do the whole unit.
- 3 Mark Swartz: So, you need to tell the Board that, then.
- 4 Anita Duty: We're just going to give elections to the entire unit. There were just so many
- 5 different things that, different changes. Even though, maybe the acreage didn't change, the tract
- 6 identification was different. It would be hard for a landowner to follow the old versus the new,
- 7 so we're just doing new elections for the entire unit.
- 8 **Bradley Lambert:** Election rights for everybody?
- 9 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 10 **Bradley Lambert:** Okay.
- 11 Mark Swartz: Have you provided cost estimates with regard to the two wells that are shown on
- the plat?
- 13 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 14 Mark Swartz: Would you read those numbers into the record?
- Anita Duty: For Well 605, the cost previously used was \$188,504.89, depth of 1,502.63 feet.
- Permit number 2186. For O40A, the estimated cost \$291,166, depth 1,850 feet. Permit number
- 17 10374.
- 18 Mark Swartz: Well 605, that estimate was back in March 1993, when this was originally
- 19 pooled?
- 20 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 21 Mark Swartz: The second well estimate for O40A was October of this year?
- 22 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 23 Mark Swartz: Both of these wells have been drilled?
- 24 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 25 Mark Swartz: And the plat shows they are both in the drilling window, correct?
- 26 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 27 Mark Swartz: Then, we've got an escrow requirement on a number of tracts?
- 28 **Anita Duty:** Yes.

- 1 Mark Swartz: What are those tracts?
- 2 **Anita Duty:** 1B, 1C, 1D, 3, 4, 5A, 5E, 5F and 5H.
- 3 Mark Swartz: Have you provided the Board with an Exhibit E with regard to escrow?
- 4 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 5 Mark Swartz: Are there any split agreements pertaining to this tract?
- 6 Anita Duty: Yes, Tract 1A, 5B, 5C, 5D and 5G.
- 7 Mark Swartz: All those tracts have some split agreement impact?
- 8 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 9 Mark Swartz: Have you provided an Exhibit EE, listing the tracts that have split agreements
- that you're aware of?
- 11 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 12 Mark Swartz: Within the escrow, is there a requirement of escrow in addition to what you've
- just reported because of some unknowns?
- 14 **Anita Duty:** Yes, in 1D.
- 15 Mark Swartz: 1D. Okay. Just to be sure that this is on everyone's radar, this is an Oakwood II
- unit and these wells are in a panel?
- 17 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 18 Mark Swartz: Okay. That is accounted for in the exhibits you've provided with regard to, for
- 19 example, Exhibit E. You're showing a division of interest in one east panel?
- 20 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 21 Mark Swartz: For the people in the unit and that's how the royalties are being attributed?
- 22 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 23 Mark Swartz: Okay. Is it your opinion that drilling a second well in this unit was a reasonable
- step to take to maximize the production of coalbed methane from this unit?
- 25 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 26 Mark Swartz: And is it your opinion that, if you combine a re-pooling order with the leases and
- other contracts that the applicant has acquired, that the correlative rights of everyone in this unit,
- to the coalbed methane, will be protected?

- 1 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 2 Mark Swartz: I think that's all I have, Mr. Chairman.
- **Bradley Lambert:** Any questions from the Board?
- **Donnie Ratliff:** Yes, on Exhibit B, Mr. Chairman.
- **Bradley Lambert:** The exhibits that we have, or the docket items that we have, beginning on
- 6 Exhibit B. We're talking about Tracts 1A, go down to C and 1A, Cora Metcalf Frank Estates.
- 7 The interest in the unit is 1,639,172.5875%.
- **Anita Duty:** I see that.
- **Bradley Lambert:** There are several of those throughout that exhibit that are the same way.
- **Anita Duty:** It looks like we have a formula issue.
- **Bradley Lambert:** There are several in that exhibit that show that.
- **Anita Duty:** We can correct that and upload a new one.
- **Bradley Lambert:** Do you have that in your copy, Mr. Cooper?
- **Rick Cooper:** We do. We have the error that you're talking about.
- **Bradley Lambert:** Okay.
- **Donnie Ratliff:** There are about five of them.
- **Rick Cooper:** Yes.
- **Bradley Lambert:** On our docket, it's page 10.
- 19 Mark Swartz: Let me see if we've got the same problem on Exhibit E, as well.
- **Bradley Lambert:** Oh, I'm sorry.
- 21 Anita Duty: I think the problem is that, until we were required to, we never submitted an
- 22 Exhibit B because our Exhibit B that we use internally has a lot of notes. It has our leases on it.
- 23 It has a lot of things on there. We take that exhibit and create the one that you want us to upload.
- I think, probably once we took out the things that we didn't want to show, it most likely caused
- an error in our formula. So, our original one that we have with all the notes on it is okay. We
- have leases from the Franks Estate.
- **Bradley Lambert:** You're saying it's our computer program.

- Anita Duty: No, I didn't say that. I'm taking blame for it. I'm just saying that I think it was
- where we created the "B" that we upload, when we've deleted some lines and things, we've
- 3 probably caused a formula error in our exhibit. But, we can upload a new one.
- 4 **<u>Bradley Lambert:</u>** They'll need to upload that.
- 5 **Rick Cooper:** You need to upload it, if you could, by tomorrow.
- 6 **Anita Duty:** We will.
- 7 Mary Quillen: That will be the Exhibit B and E?
- 8 Mark Swartz: E looks good. Anita, it appears to me that the big numbers all pertain to the
- 9 Franks Estate.
- 10 **Anita Duty:** It does appear that way.
- 11 Mark Swartz: What's the status of the Franks Estate, in terms of whether you have all of them
- leased or not?
- 13 **Anita Duty:** They're all leased.
- 14 Mark Swartz: So, that error is with people that we have a lease with. As far as we can tell
- today, it looks like the change in percentages in acres only pertains to people within the Franks
- 16 group.
- 17 Anita Duty: Correct.
- 18 Mark Swartz: That we have a lease for.
- 19 **Anita Duty:** And they also have royalty agreements.
- 20 Mark Swartz: We're not pooling people that we have bad numbers for?
- 21 Anita Duty: Correct.
- 22 Mark Swartz: Okay. But, we can upload a corrected version of that to straighten out the
- Franks numbers.
- 24 **Anita Duty:** We will do that.
- 25 Mark Swartz: Good catch.
- **Bill Harris:** Mr. Chairman, there is one more on page 12. It's with Consol Buchanan Mining.
- That's also up at the top.
- 28 Mark Swartz: A big number?

- 1 <u>Bill Harris:</u> It's also a big number.
- 2 Mark Swartz: Let me look at that.
- Bill Harris: It's also page 3 of 22, but it says page 12 on our Docket. Exhibit B, Unit O40.
- 4 Mark Swartz: What was the other page, Mr. Harris?
- 5 **Bill Harris:** I think 10 is what originally prompted the question, but 11 has some errors, the
- 6 same type of error.
- 7 Mark Swartz: Right. We will get that also, with regard to CNX, that same sort of issue. We'll
- 8 get that straightened out on there.
- 9 **Anita Duty:** I will double check the other exhibits, but I don't think there's a problem with
- those. How we create the "B," I think, is what's caused our problem.
- 11 **Bradley Lambert:** Okay. Any other questions from the Board? [No response] Anything
- 12 further, Mr. Swartz?
- 13 Mark Swartz: No.
- 14 **Bradley Lambert:** Do I have a motion?
- Donnie Ratliff: Motion to approve, with the amended Exhibit B.
- 16 **Bradley Lambert:** I have a motion. Do I have a second?
- 17 Mary Quillen: Second.
- 18 **Bradley Lambert:** Any further discussion?
- 19 **Bill Harris:** Mr. Chairman, there is one other on page 20 that I found. You're going to go
- 20 through that?
- 21 **Anita Duty:** I'm going to go through the whole exhibit.
- 22 **Bill Harris:** Okay. Thank you. I'm sorry to interrupt the motion.
- 23 **Bradley Lambert:** It's okay. So, I have a motion and I have a second to approve, based upon
- 24 the re-submittal of Exhibit B and approval by Mr. Cooper and staff of the updated Exhibit B. All
- in favor signify by saying 'yes.'
- 26 **Board:** Yes.
- 27 **Bradley Lambert:** Opposed no. [No response] Thank you, Mr. Swartz. That's approved.
- 28 Mark Swartz: Thank you.

<u>Item Number 13</u>

- 2 **Bradley Lambert:** We're calling Docket Item Number 13. A petition from CNX Gas
- 3 Company, LLC, for re-pooling of Unit O41 in the Oakwood CBM field. This is Docket Number
- 4 VGOB 93-0420-0362-03. All parties wishing to testify please come forward.
- 5 Mark Swartz: Mark Swartz and Anita Duty.
- 6 **Bradley Lambert:** You may proceed, Mr. Swartz.
- 7 Mark Swartz: Thank you. I would like to incorporate the testimony with regard to the operator
- 8 and applicant, standard lease terms and Ms. Duty's employment.
- 9 **Bradley Lambert:** Accepted.
- 10 Mark Swartz: Thank you. Anita, would you state your name for us, again?
- 11 **Anita Duty:** Anita Duty.
- 12 Mark Swartz: You're still under oath?
- 13 Anita Duty: Yes.

1

- 14 Mark Swartz: Okay. This petition pertains to what unit?
- 15 **Anita Duty:** O41.
- 16 Mark Swartz: And, what field is that in?
- 17 Anita Duty: Oakwood I & II.
- 18 Mark Swartz: Which would mean that there are some panels under these wells?
- 19 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 20 Mark Swartz: Okay. This is an application to re-pool this unit?
- 21 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 22 Mark Swartz: What caused that to be required?
- 23 **Anita Duty:** The same issues from the prior application.
- 24 **Mark Swartz:** That same line is in this unit?
- 25 **Anita Duty:** I don't know that it is.
- 26 Mark Swartz: Let's look at that.

- 1 Anita Duty: Some of the tracts to the south that changed in the Oakwood...
- 2 Mark Swartz: So, this is to the south? Looking at the plat of this 80-acre unit, does it...
- 3 **Anita Duty:** It's to the east. I'm sorry.
- 4 Mark Swartz: What is it that moved around here?
- 5 Anita Duty: That same line does extend into this unit, over in this part, in the far western side.
- 6 Mark Swartz: So, on the western side of this unit, the line that we were talking about in the last
- 7 case, actually comes into this unit and was moved to some extent?
- 8 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 9 Mark Swartz: Therefore, changed acreages and percentages and that's at least one of the
- reasons we're here?
- 11 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 12 Mark Swartz: Were there any other changed in this unit, other than that line, that come to mind
- at the moment? It looks like everything is pretty much over in that area.
- 14 **Anita Duty:** It is. All the changes are over in the western corner.
- 15 Mark Swartz: Okay. This O41 unit was originally pooled in what year?
- 16 **Anita Duty:** 1993.
- 17 Mark Swartz: Okay. And what county is it in?
- 18 **Anita Duty:** Buchanan.
- 19 Mark Swartz: We've already said it's an Oakwood Unit I & II. How many acres?
- 20 **Anita Duty:** 80.
- 21 Mark Swartz: The plat that you provided to the Board shows three wells, correct?
- 22 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 23 Mark Swartz: One almost in the center of the drilling unit, one off to the east of the window,
- 24 correct?
- 25 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 26 Mark Swartz: And then one, it looks like the original well, right up at the very northern?
- 27 Anita Duty: Yes.

- 1 Mark Swartz: Okay. The CBM 607?
- 2 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 3 Mark Swartz: As long as we're talking about wells, have you provided cost estimates for all
- 4 three of those wells?
- 5 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 6 Mark Swartz: And, with regard to the original wells, did you use the numbers that were on the
- 7 table when it was originally pooled in 1993?
- 8 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 9 Mark Swartz: Then, we've got a third well that we have an estimate from October of this year.
- 10 Is that correct?
- 11 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 12 Mark Swartz: How many of these wells are permitted?
- 13 **Anita Duty:** All of them.
- 14 Mark Swartz: How many are drilled?
- 15 Anita Duty: All three.
- 16 Mark Swartz: Okay. Again, we're talking about wells that penetrate long wall panels, right?
- 17 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 18 Mark Swartz: Okay. What interest have you acquired and what are you seeking to pool, here?
- 19 **Anita Duty:** We've acquired 99.877% of the coal owners' claim to CBM, 97.7741% of the oil
- and gas owners' claim to CBM. Seeking to pool 0.123% of the coal owners' claim and 2.2259%
- of the oil and gas owners' claim.
- 22 Mark Swartz: In that regard, what did you do to notify people that this unit was being re-
- pooled and that their interest might be affected?
- 24 **Anita Duty:** Notified by return receipt October 17, 2014, and published the notice and location
- 25 map in the *Bluefield Daily Telegraph* on October 22, 2014.
- 26 Mark Swartz: And have you filed the certificate of publication that you got from the newspaper
- and your certificates and proofs with regard to mailing with the division?
- 28 Anita Duty: Yes.

- 1 Mark Swartz: In advance of today?
- 2 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 3 Mark Swartz: Okay. Does this unit require escrow?
- 4 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 5 Mark Swartz: And have you provided a revised Exhibit E?
- 6 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 7 Mark Swartz: And what tracts have you indicated in that exhibit need to be escrowed? As you
- 8 go through that list, could you tell the Board the tracts that actually also have escrow
- 9 requirements because of unknowns?
- Anita Duty: Escrow for CBM claim is 2A, 2B, 2C, 2D, 3A, 3C and 3D. Then, in addition, for
- unknowns is 2C and 3D.
- 12 Mark Swartz: Are there split agreements that pertain to this unit?
- 13 Anita Duty: Yes, for Tracts 2E and 3B.
- 14 Mark Swartz: Have you provided an Exhibit EE with regard to the split agreements?
- 15 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 16 Mark Swartz: Obviously, you've listed the ones that you're aware of?
- 17 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 18 Mark Swartz: There might be others, but we don't know?
- 19 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 20 Mark Swartz: Okay. Is the development plan that's disclosed by the plat and the cost estimates
- a reasonable plan to develop coalbed methane within this unit in conjunction with mining?
- 22 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 23 Mark Swartz: And, if you combine the leases that the operators obtained and the other interest
- 24 that you've obtained with a re-pooling order here, will the correlative rights for all claimants in
- 25 this unit be protected?
- 26 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 27 Mark Swartz: Do you want to add or dismiss any respondents today?

- 1 Anita Duty: No.
- 2 Mark Swartz: I believe that's all I have, Mr. Chairman.
- 3 **Bradley Lambert:** Any questions from the Board?
- 4 **Donnie Ratliff:** Mr. Chairman.
- 5 **Bradley Lambert:** Mr. Ratliff.
- 6 **Donnie Ratliff:** You've got the same formula problems on the Franks Estate in Exhibit B on 2B,
- 7 2C, 2D, 2E and CNX on 2A, 2B, 2C and 2E.
- 8 Mark Swartz: I'm astonished that Mr. Franks hasn't pointed that out to us. Aren't you?
- 9 **Anita Duty:** He called me right after he received it.
- 10 Mark Swartz: You'll get those straightened out here, as well.
- 11 **Anita Duty:** We will and upload them.
- 12 Mark Swartz: Obviously, we would expect you to wait for that to occur.
- 13 **Bradley Lambert:** Absolutely. Any other questions from the Board?
- 14 **Bill Harris:** Mr. Chairman, let me just ask a quick question. Again, in Exhibit B, I have page
- 15 11 down at the bottom. I just wanted to ask about a notation in red in the center there. It just
- says "62313-bad address." So, again, this is on Exhibit B, page 11.
- 17 **Anita Duty:** Those are the kinds of things we usually don't want to show. I guess we've had a
- failure on these.
- 19 **Bill Harris:** Now that it's out there, does that mean this is the bad address or is this the corrected
- 20 address or what's?
- 21 Anita Duty: No, what we were saying is the last time we mailed that in June, it came back from
- 22 the post office as a bad address. What we normally do then is we send those over to start
- research and try to find them.
- **Bill Harris:** So, that's being worked on as far as you know?
- 25 **Anita Duty:** We still don't have anything, yet.
- 26 **Bill Harris:** So, that would be corrected and the bad address notation would disappear?
- 27 **Anita Duty:** It shouldn't show there. We shouldn't show you that. We should just be working
- on it. You don't need to know that it's not good.

- **Bill Harris:** Okay. Thank you.
- **Bradley Lambert:** Any other questions? [No response] Anything further, Mr. Swartz?
- 3 Mark Swartz: No.
- **Bradley Lambert:** Do I have a motion?
- **Bill Harris:** Motion for approval.
- **Bruce Prather:** Second.
- **Bradley Lambert:** I have a motion and a second. Any further discussion?
- **Donnie Ratliff:** And, that's with an amended Exhibit B?
- **Bill Harris:** Yes.
- **Bradley Lambert:** I have a motion and a second for approval, upon submittal of an updated
- Exhibit B and approval by Mr. Cooper and staff. All in favor signify by saying 'yes.'
- **Board:** Yes.
- **Bradley Lambert:** Opposed no. [No response] Thank you, Mr. Swartz. That is approved.

14 <u>Item Number 14</u>

- **Bradley Lambert:** We're calling Docket Item Number 14. A petition from CNX Gas
- 16 Company, LLC, for re-pooling Unit AX146. Docket Number VGOB 13-1217-4028-01. All
- parties wishing to testify please come forward.
- 18 Mark Swartz: Mark Swartz and Anita Duty.
- **Bradley Lambert:** You may proceed, Mr. Swartz.
- 20 Mark Swartz: I'd like to incorporate Anita's testimony with regard to standard lease terms, the
- 21 applicant and operator and her employment, if I could.
- **Bradley Lambert:** Accepted.
- 23 Mark Swartz: Thank you. State your name for us again, Anita.
- **Anita Duty:** Anita Duty.
- 25 Mark Swartz: And remind you that you're still under oath.
- **Anita Duty:** Yes.

- 1 Mark Swartz: This last item today is a re-pooling, as well. Correct?
- 2 **Anita Duty:** It is.
- 3 Mark Swartz: And it pertains to what unit?
- 4 Anita Duty: AX-146.
- 5 Mark Swartz: What's the reason that this is being re-pooled?
- 6 Anita Duty: We had identified a couple new heirships and there was also some mapping of the
- 7 Commonwealth, either a right of way or a deed that was taken that was previously showing the
- 8 surface only.
- 9 Mark Swartz: So, the re-pooling gave you an opportunity to straighten out some heirships,
- 10 correct?
- 11 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 12 Mark Swartz: And that accounts, in part, for the large number of respondents?
- Anita Duty: Correct. Any time we do an additional well, we always do updates and try to
- 14 find...
- 15 Mark Swartz: And you figure, as long as you were coming to fix acreages and percentages and
- heirships, we would also ask for a second well in the unit. Correct?
- 17 **Anita Duty:** We needed to do that, also.
- 18 Mark Swartz: Okay. And, if we look at the plat here, this is what kind of a unit?
- 19 **Anita Duty:** It's a Middle Ridge.
- 20 Mark Swartz: And how many acres?
- 21 **Anita Duty:** 58.8.
- 22 <u>Mark Swartz:</u> When was this originally pooled?
- 23 **Anita Duty:** In December of '13.
- 24 **Mark Swartz:** So, fairly recently.
- 25 **Anita Duty:** We had an original pooling back earlier in, I think, 2006, that expired.
- 26 Mark Swartz: Oh, okay.
- 27 **Anita Duty:** So, this is our third.

- 1 Mark Swartz: What county is this in?
- 2 **Anita Duty:** Tazewell.
- 3 Mark Swartz: And what did you do to notify people that we were going to have a hearing
- 4 today?
- 5 Anita Duty: Mailed by certified mail, return receipt requested on October 17, 2014, published
- 6 the notice and location map in the *Bluefield Daily Telegraph* on October 21, 2014.
- 7 Mark Swartz: Do you want to add any respondents or dismiss any today?
- 8 Anita Duty: No.
- 9 Mark Swartz: What interest have you acquired in this unit, as operator and applicant and what
- are you seeking to pool?
- Anita Duty: We have acquired 99.4898% of the coal owners' claim and 45.9524% of the oil and
- gas owners' claim. Seeking to pool 0.5102% of the coal owners' claim and 54.0476% of the oil
- and gas owners' claim.
- 14 Mark Swartz: With reference to the plat here, it looks like both of the wells are within the
- drilling window of this Middle Ridge unit?
- 16 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 17 Mark Swartz: Okay. And the second well is up in the northwest corner of the drilling window,
- but within the window?
- 19 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 20 Mark Swartz: Okay. The mapping issues pertained to what general area of this map?
- 21 Anita Duty: Generally, over on the eastern side, where the road runs through the unit.
- 22 Mark Swartz: Okay. So, we see a road running from North to South that catches the eastern
- side of the unit?
- 24 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 25 Mark Swartz: And there were mapping changes pertaining to that?
- 26 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 27 Mark Swartz: Okay. So, most of the changes are in tracts abutting that road?
- 28 Anita Duty: Yes.

- 1 Mark Swartz: And the heirships, were they also in that area or were they somewhere else?
- 2 Anita Duty: 1I and 1P. So, yes.
- 3 Mark Swartz: Okay. Were there heirship issues?
- 4 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 5 Mark Swartz: And those turn out actually to be over in 1I and 1T?
- 6 **Anita Duty:** 1P.
- 7 Mark Swartz: Oh, 1P. Okay. Those are all sort of at the top and bottom on the eastern edge.
- 8 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 9 Mark Swartz: Okay. Have you provided well cost estimates with regard to these two wells?
- 10 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 11 **Mark Swartz:** And what are they?
- 12 **Anita Duty:** For AX-146, \$313,528. Depth-2,071 feet. AX-146A-\$368,655. AX-146A
- estimated depth-2,400 feet. Permit number 12656.
- 14 Mark Swartz: Okay. And the estimate of the first well was provided in November of 2013,
- 15 correct?
- 16 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 17 Mark Swartz: And you're using, obviously, that one?
- 18 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 19 Mark Swartz: Then, the well that we would like to add on this re-pooling was October 2, 2014.
- 20 Correct?
- 21 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 22 Mark Swartz: Okay. Have you made a list of the folks that should receive an opportunity to
- 23 elect?
- 24 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 25 Mark Swartz: Would you read their names into the record?
- 26 **Anita Duty:** It would be Ivory Brown.
- 27 Mark Swartz: And the tract that that pertains to.

- 1 Anita Duty: I'm sorry. Tract 1A-Ivory Brown. Tract 1I-the Levi Hickman heirs. Tract 1K-
- 2 Levi Hickman heirs. 1P-the Dewey Meadows heirs. 1Q-Patricia Allen. Tracts 1S, 1T, 1U, 1V-
- 3 Virginia Department of Transportation/Commonwealth of Virginia. 1W-Ivory Brown, again.
- 4 1X-Ivory Brown. 1Y will be VDOT. 1Z-Levi Hickman heirs.
- 5 Mark Swartz: Okay. So, those are the tracts and the folks that should get an election option
- 6 into both wells, obviously.
- 7 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 8 Mark Swartz: If this unit is re-pooled.
- 9 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 10 Mark Swartz: Is there an escrow requirement?
- 11 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 12 Mark Swartz: And it pertains to a great number of tracts?
- 13 Anita Duty: It does.
- 14 Mark Swartz: Rather than read them into the record, have you provided an Exhibit E to the
- 15 Board?
- 16 Anita Duty: Yes.
- 17 Mark Swartz: And are all of the tracts that require escrow, listed on that Exhibit E?
- 18 **Anita Duty:** They are.
- 19 Mark Swartz: Within Exhibit E, are there also several tracts, it looks like six tracts, that require
- 20 escrow because there are unknowns in them?
- 21 **Anita Duty:** Correct.
- 22 Mark Swartz: And have you set that forth in Exhibit E, that there are unknowns in this tract?
- 23 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 24 Mark Swartz: Are there any split agreements that you're aware of that pertain to Unit AX-146?
- 25 Anita Duty: No.
- 26 Mark Swartz: So, you have not provided an Exhibit EE, correct?
- 27 **Anita Duty:** Correct.

- 1 Mark Swartz: These are not being paid as pieces of a panel production?
- 2 **Anita Duty:** No.
- 3 Mark Swartz: These are just well allocations?
- 4 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 5 Mark Swartz: Is it your opinion that drilling a second well in the drilling window of this unit is
- 6 a reasonable development plan?
- 7 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 8 Mark Swartz: Is it your view that a re-pooling order combined with your leases that you've
- 9 obtained and the other interest you have obtained by contract would serve to protect the
- 10 correlative rights of all claimants in this unit?
- 11 **Anita Duty:** Yes.
- 12 Mark Swartz: I think that's all I have, Mr. Chairman.
- 13 **Bradley Lambert:** Questions from the Board?
- 14 **<u>Bill Harris:</u>** Let me just ask a quick question. Where is this? I notice that the road goes through
- a town. Do you know what town that is, by any chance? I'm just curious. Richlands?
- 16 **Rick Cooper:** That's correct. It goes through Richlands.
- 17 **Bill Harris:** Okay. Thank you.
- 18 **Donnie Ratliff:** Mr. Chairman.
- 19 **Bradley Lambert:** Mr. Ratliff.
- 20 **Donnie Ratliff:** On your Exhibit B, there's lots of information missing on ownership and
- 21 acreage. There's a lot of blanks.
- 22 **Anita Duty:** On a couple of the heirships.
- 23 **Donnie Ratliff:** Is that what it is?
- Anita Duty: The Levi Hickman heirs and the Dewey Meadows heirs are the ones that are a
- 25 work in progress right now. Those are the ones that we are trying to identify those owners. And,
- 26 if we don't have documentation to bring that interest forward, we leave it in the original heir's
- 27 name until we get documentation.
- 28 **Donnie Ratliff:** Okay.

- 1 Anita Duty: That may be some of the.....because you'll see little notes in there that say
- 2 "documentation pending."
- 3 **Donnie Ratliff:** It is all heirship?
- 4 Anita Duty: Yes. Those two are those new heirships that we're still working on that go back
- 5 several years.
- 6 **Bradley Lambert:** Any other questions? [No response] Anything further, Mr. Swartz?
- 7 Mark Swartz: No.
- 8 **Bradley Lambert:** Do I have a motion?
- 9 **Bill Harris:** Motion to approve.
- 10 **Bruce Prather:** Second.
- 11 **Bradley Lambert:** I have a motion and I have a second. Any further discussion? [No
- response] All in favor signify by saying 'yes.'
- 13 **Board:** Yes.
- 14 **Bradley Lambert:** Opposed no. [No response] Thank you, Mr. Swartz. That's approved.
- 15 Mark Swartz: Thank you.

16 Item Number 15

- 17 **Bradley Lambert:** The next item on the docket is the Board will receive an update from the
- 18 division.
- 19 **Rick Cooper:** At this time, I was wondering, would it be possible for Ms. Barrett to come back
- and add to her testimony on PC313, Docket Item Number 7? I'd like to clarify her testimony, if
- she could do so. I guess I'm asking the Board, can she do that?
- 22 **Bradley Lambert:** Yes. Ms. Barrett, please come forward.
- 23 Mary Quillen: What item number is this?
- 24 **Bradley Lambert:** Docket Item Number 7.
- 25 **Rita Barrett:** Yes, Mr. Chairman. It's VGOB 93-0119-0309-06. Mr. Lovett has confirmed that
- this well is producing, nowhere near the school. I should have looked at the plat. I apologize.
- 27 We have production up through July of this year. So, I would like to continue this well so that

- we can revise this reconciliation. I don't feel comfortable with a reconciliation that's two years
- 2 old.
- 3 **Bradley Lambert:** Sure.
- 4 Rita Barrett: It looks like they were actually waiting on some disbursement on items to get
- 5 recorded and the last one came in September 18th of this year.
- 6 **Bradley Lambert:** When would you like to continue it to?
- 7 **Rita Barrett:** January.
- 8 **Bradley Lambert:** January? Okay.
- 9 **Mary Quillen:** January?
- 10 **Rita Barrett:** Yes, please.
- 11 **Bradley Lambert:** So, Docket Item Number 7, a petition from EQT Production Company, for
- disbursement and authorization for direct payment on behalf of all known owners in Tract 4 in
- 13 Well PC-313 (VC-751313). Docket Number VGOB 93-0119-0309-06 will be continued until
- 14 January 2015.
- 15 **Rita Barrett:** Thank you.
- **Bradley Lambert:** Thank you, Ms. Barrett. Now, we'll receive an update from the Division.
- 17 **Rick Cooper:** Two quick updates. Just to let the Board know, Mr. George Taylor, the Vice
- 18 President of First Bank & Trust is meeting with us this Thursday to sign the escrow extension for
- 19 five years. So, everything should be going forward on that. No terms and conditions changed
- and no fees changed. So, we plan to sign that on the 20th, this week. The only other thing I have
- 21 is that during the last hearing, First Bank & Trust mentioned that there are some "green files" at
- 22 the end of the escrow account. Comments came up and we resolved those issues that they've
- been hanging out there for at least 20 years. They're at the end of the escrow account and I guess
- I had thought those were in a different part of the State. With a little research, we found out
- 25 those are in Southwest Virginia, but they have no docket numbers assigned to them, at all. So,
- 26 what we're going to do is to start going from 1990 or maybe even before then, and search the
- transcripts to see if we can find some type of history. There are actually six wells that been
- sitting out there. Of that six, four of them have unknowns or unlocatables in those with a very
- small dollar amount. So, hopefully, in the very near future, after we research all the transcripts
- we will discover these people. It will take some time to do that, since some of them are from the
- late '80's and '90's. But, we hope to report to the Board in the very near future that we might be
- 32 able to close one or two of the accounts. The question that the Board needs to answer is: can
- these unlocatables hang out there for a good period of time? Some of them just have \$2.48, but

- they've been in there over 20 years. So, we're doing our diligent effort to try to locate these
- 2 people and who they're assigned to, but we have only found one at this time.
- 3 Mary Quillen: I have one question. After that long period of time, would those not revert to the
- 4 unclaimed accounts by the State?
- 5 **Rick Cooper:** That's a question we've talked about. I was going to ask the Board that. Is there
- 6 any way that we can close those accounts if we locate these? At this time, I'm not sure. Maybe,
- 7 that would be a question we can also talk about with the bank when they come back. I'm not
- 8 sure at this time. They've been there for over 20 years.
- 9 **Bradley Lambert:** That's the question we probably need to talk to the Bank, first, and bring it
- 10 back before the Board.
- 11 Rick Cooper: Again, these never had docket numbers assigned to them. These are just odd
- balls. We are working on that.
- 13 **Bradley Lambert:** Okay. Along those lines, Ms. Kesterson, could you come before the Board,
- please? Before we get into the last docket item, as you know at 2:00 this afternoon, there will be
- a press conference related to the escrow review and Ms. Kesterson has been so kind as to put
- together that press conference and develop a presentation for that. Would you explain that to the
- Board what will take place at 2:00?
- **Tarah Kesterson:** We've kind of revised the escrow viewer, if you guys had a chance to take a
- look at it on our website. People can log on, see where the escrowed units are, type in their
- acreage based on an address search, and then find how much they are owed. We also have a
- 21 process to explain who they contact, where they go. The companies have provided us direct
- information. So, when they find those units on their property, they'll be able to find the direct
- person to contact. So, we have invited the press here at 2:00. We're going to talk about what
- unknown, unlocatables, are and give a demonstration on how our viewer works on our website.
- 25 **Bradley Lambert:** So, potentially, that could help us locate those folks in those old units.
- 26 **Rick Cooper:** That's correct. This has been a cooperative effort from all the companies because
- 27 they've had to submit a lot of the data. Everyone has been pretty cooperative on this. We feel
- that this is our best effort, that we can put forth. We've tried over and over. I know the Board
- 29 has, many times, requested that we come up with ways or means to try to do that. We've tried
- websites. We believe that this is our best effort to put it out for anyone to see anytime, night or
- 31 day. They can do 911 addresses. They can do local addresses. If they know a well, they can do
- 32 it from a well search. But, we feel that this is the best attempt that we know as of to date, to try
- to locate some of these people that may be in other states or issues. Correct?
- 34 **Tarah Kesterson:** I believe so.

- 1 **Bradley Lambert:** It's an excellent program. These folks that develop these mapping
- 2 programs, they give it to me first to try it and, if I can do it, pretty much anybody can do it. So, I
- 3 would encourage everybody, even the audience members if you could stay or come back at 2:00
- 4 to review this. Maybe you're not involved or maybe you don't have acreage involved in
- 5 unlocatables, but you would be able to tell other members in the community so they can use this
- 6 tool to locate it. Thanks to the staff who developed it and Tarah for all your work you've done in
- 7 getting the word out and setting up the press conference. Anything further?
- 8 **Rick Cooper:** No, I think again, the demonstration will pretty much reveal what we've got to
- 9 teach. It's pretty user-friendly, but it's also pretty comprehensive. It's very easy to use.
- 10 **Bradley Lambert:** Okay. Thank you, Rick. Thank you, Tarah.
- 11 **<u>Bill Harris:</u>** Mr. Chairman, let me ask a question.
- 12 **Bradley Lambert:** Mr. Harris.
- Bill Harris: This is related, but unrelated. I think I saw in the news this week something about
- a fracking workshop or conference or something. I think it was a citizen opportunity and I
- thought that was this week somewhere in Buchanan County. Was that something that...?
- 16 **Rick Cooper:** To be very honest, I'm not aware of it.
- 17 **Bill Harris:** I was surprised when I saw it. I just saw it in the news this week and it was on
- fracking. I can't remember where it was, but I'm not sure if it was in Russell County or
- 19 Buchanan. Does anyone?
- 20 **Rick Cooper:** I'm not sure where that could be.
- 21 <u>Bill Harris:</u> I just wondered. I think it took place, already, this week. I was just curious as to
- 22 what was discussed and how that came about. Thank you.
- 23 Item Number 16
- 24 **Bradley Lambert:** The next item on the docket is the Board will review October 2014 minutes.
- 25 Are there any additions?
- 26 **Donnie Ratliff:** Move to approve as presented.
- 27 **Bradley Lambert:** I have a motion to approve. Do I have a second? Do I have a second to
- approve the minutes?
- 29 **Bill Harris:** Second.
- 30 **Bradley Lambert:** All in favor signify by saying 'yes.'

- **Board:** Yes.
- **Bradley Lambert:** Opposed no. [No response] Do I have a motion to adjourn?
- 3 Mary Quillen: Motion to adjourn.
- **Bradley Lambert:** Do I have a second?
- **Bill Harris:** Second.
- **Bradley Lambert:** All in favor signify by saying 'yes.'
- **Board:** Yes.
- **Bradley Lambert:** Thank you, ladies and gentlemen.